

PERFORMANCE EVALUATION (CONSTRUCTION)		1. CONTRACT NUMBER W912DQ11C4036	
INCOMPLETE - RATED		2. CEC NUMBER 945067569	
IMPORTANT: Be sure to complete Part III - Evaluation of Performance Elements on reverse.			
PART I - GENERAL CONTRACT DATA			
3. TYPE OF EVALUATION (X one)		4. TERMINATED FOR DEFAULT	
<input type="checkbox"/> INTERIM (List percentage <u>100</u> %)		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> AMENDED	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> FINAL		<input type="checkbox"/>	
5. CONTRACTOR (Name, Address, and ZIP Code) C & M CONTRACTORS, INC. HC 6 BOX 247 DONTIPHAN MO 639350247 USA NAICS Code: 237990		6.a. PROCUREMENT METHOD (X one)	
		<input type="checkbox"/> SEALED BID <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NEGOTIATED	
		b. TYPE OF CONTRACT (X one)	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> FIRM FIXED PRICE <input type="checkbox"/> COST REIMBURSEMENT	
		<input type="checkbox"/> OTHER (Specify)	
7. DESCRIPTION AND LOCATION OF WORK The work contracted for involved the reconstruction of equivalent athletic facilities for those damaged at Joplin High School. The replacement fields are located at Joplin South Middle School. Four fields were designed for: Soccer, Football Practice, Baseball and Softball. All fields except Football Practice had accompanying bleachers and/or fences. The Soccer and Baseball fields are lighted. Americans with Disabilities Act compliant walkways and access ramps were provided on the fields with bleachers. A contract modification was executed to bring off-site residential high voltage electrical to power the field lighting.			
8. TYPE AND PERCENT OF SUBCONTRACTING Subcontractors were used in most phases of construction. Electrical work (12%), grading and landscaping (18%), fencing (7%) and lighting (5%) were all subcontracted to local firms who accomplished approximately 42% of the dollar amount of work.			
9. FISCAL DATA	a. AMOUNT OF BASIC CONTRACT \$972,135	b. TOTAL AMOUNT OF MODIFICATIONS \$15,177	c. LIQUIDATED DAMAGES ASSESSED
			d. NET AMOUNT PAID CONTRACTOR \$987,312
10. SIGNIFICANT DATES	a. DATE OF AWARD 07/28/2011	b. ORIGINAL CONTRACT COMPLETION DATE 10/15/2011	c. REVISED CONTRACT COMPLETION DATE
			d. DATE WORK ACCEPTED 10/15/2011
PART II - PERFORMANCE EVALUATION OF CONTRACTOR			
11. OVERALL RATING (X appropriate block)			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> OUTSTANDING	<input type="checkbox"/> ABOVE AVERAGE	<input type="checkbox"/> SATISFACTORY	<input type="checkbox"/> MARGINAL <input type="checkbox"/> UNSATISFACTORY (Explain in Item 20 on reverse)
12. EVALUATED BY			
a. ORGANIZATION (Name and Address (Include ZIP Code)) KANSAS CITY DISTRICT USACE		b. TELEPHONE NUMBER (Include Area Code) 816-389-3916	
c. NAME AND TITLE ERIC ARNDT MISSOURI AREA ENGINEER		d. SIGNATURE //Electronically Signed//	e. DATE 01/05/2012
13. EVALUATION REVIEWED BY			
a. ORGANIZATION (Name and Address (Include ZIP Code))		b. TELEPHONE NUMBER (Include Area Code)	
c. NAME AND TITLE		d. SIGNATURE	e. DATE
14. AGENCY USE (Distribution, etc.)			

PART III - EVALUATION OF PERFORMANCE ELEMENTS

N/A = NOT APPLICABLE O = OUTSTANDING A = ABOVE AVERAGE S = SATISFACTORY M = MARGINAL U = UNSATISFACTORY

15. QUALITY CONTROL							16. EFFECTIVENESS OF MANAGEMENT						
N/A	O	A	S	M	U	N/A	O	A	S	M	U		
a. QUALITY OF WORKMANSHIP	X					a. COOPERATION AND RESPONSIVENESS	X						
b. ADEQUACY OF THE CQC PLAN		X				b. MANAGEMENT OF RESOURCES/ PERSONNEL	X						
c. IMPLEMENTATION OF THE CQC PLAN		X				c. COORDINATION AND CONTROL OF SUBCONTRACTOR(S)		X					
d. QUALITY OF QC DOCUMENTATION	X					d. ADEQUACY OF SITE CLEAN-UP		X					
e. STORAGE OF MATERIALS		X				e. EFFECTIVENESS OF JOB-SITE SUPERVISION	X						
f. ADEQUACY OF MATERIALS	X					f. COMPLIANCE WITH LAWS AND REGULATIONS		X					
g. ADEQUACY OF SUBMITTALS		X				g. PROFESSIONAL CONDUCT	X						
h. ADEQUACY OF QC TESTING		X				h. REVIEW/RESOLUTION OF SUBCONTRACTOR'S ISSUES		X					
i. ADEQUACY OF AS-BUILTS	X					i. IMPLEMENTATION OF SUBCONTRACTING PLAN		X					
j. USE OF SPECIFIED MATERIALS		X				18. COMPLIANCE WITH LABOR STANDARDS							
k. IDENTIFICATION/CORRECTION OF DEFICIENT WORK IN A TIMELY MANNER	X					a. CORRECTION OF NOTED DEFICIENCIES		X					
17. TIMELY PERFORMANCE							b. PAYROLLS PROPERLY COMPLETED AND SUBMITTED		X				
a. ADEQUACY OF INITIAL PROGRESS SCHEDULE		X				c. COMPLIANCE WITH LABOR LAWS AND REGULATIONS WITH SPECIFIC ATTENTION TO THE DAVIS-BACON ACT AND EEO REQUIREMENTS	X						
b. ADHERENCE TO APPROVED SCHEDULE		X				19. COMPLIANCE WITH SAFETY STANDARDS							
c. RESOLUTION OF DELAYS	X					a. ADEQUACY OF SAFETY PLAN	X						
d. SUBMISSION OF REQUIRED DOCUMENTATION	X					b. IMPLEMENTATION OF SAFETY PLAN	X						
e. COMPLETION OF PUNCHLIST ITEMS		X				c. CORRECTION OF NOTED DEFICIENCIES		X					
f. SUBMISSION OF UPDATED AND REVISED PROGRESS SCHEDULES	X					20. REMARKS (Explanation of unsatisfactory evaluation is required. Other comments are optional. Provide facts concerning specific events or actions to justify the evaluation. These data must be in sufficient detail to assist contracting officers in determining the contractor's responsibility. Continue on separate sheet(s), if needed.)							
g. WARRANTY RESPONSE	X					Small Business Utilization Does this contract include a subcontracting plan? No Date of last Individual Subcontracting Report (ISR) / Summary Subcontracting Report (SSR): N/A EVALUATOR REMARKS: C&M Contractors, Inc. provided the Joplin Relief Mission with an outstanding product, both in terms of constructed facilities as well as contract procedure. The contractor stayed ahead of schedule during the work and follow-on site maintenance. The contractor used the most up to date technology, including GPS guided scrapers that automatically grade a 2% crown to the field, for maximum efficiency. The contractor was proactive in pointing out a deficiency in the submitted drawing that the Army Corps accepted to commence work. The government solicitation was ambiguous regarding the party responsible for the electrical supply. The plans did not provide for a connection between the off-site residential hi-voltage line and the to-be constructed breaker panel for the baseball and soccer field lighting. Empire Electric, the regional power supplier for the southwest Missouri area, was prevailed upon by the contractor to design and provide an estimate for a solution that was quickly executable. The work under the modification needed to be executed immediately as other major items of work were contingent upon it. The contractor was able to convince Empire to make this task a priority. C&M were flexible when working with the Joplin School District facilities maintenance staff and their varying requirements and commitments. Overall the government's Quality Assurance Representatives were very satisfied with the work and the contractor's responsiveness to the government's contracting requirements to merit a rating of Outstanding.							